

United States Patent and Trademark Office

HB

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	-96	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,686		05/22/2004	Carles Borrego Bel	8157ES 3685 EXAMINER	
23688	7590	08/02/2006			
Bruce E. H	_		TSO, EDWARD H		
PO BOX 872735 VANCOUVER, WA 98687-2735				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2838	
				DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	The second secon					
	10/709,686	BORREGO BEL	BORREGO BEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Edward H. Tso	2838						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
<u> </u>	action is non-final.							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	·						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		1						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r							
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		hy the Evaminer						
		•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		•	PED 1 121/d\					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•							
	arriller. Note the attache	ed Office Action of John P	10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this Nationa	al Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	t received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/22/04</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·					

Application/Control Number: 10/709,686

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 5/22/04 has been considered and placed of record. An initialed copy is attached herewith.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-15 are objected to because of the following informalities: the conjunction "and/or" should be modified since its use does not define equivalent structure (claim 1). All reference terms such as SDN1 should be parenthesized (claims 1-15). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 8, 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tamai et al. (US 6,275,004). The reference discloses a control power transfer system for an automobile having, *inter alia*, at least two batteries B1...B3 being charged from a generator 23. The batteries are provided with module to control and analyze the

Application/Control Number: 10/709,686

Art Unit: 2838

state of charge or health of the batteries. A microcontroller determines and controls the switching transfer of charge among the modules.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamai et al. (US 6,275,004).

Regarding the use of feeding loads to different parts of the vehicle, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have supplied the different parts of the vehicle with different existing batteries in order

Art Unit: 2838

to alleviate the stress on the individual battery, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Regarding the use of a disable signal when the vehicle is involved in an accident, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have supplied a switch to disable the battery during an accident for the purpose of preventing spark and/or fire to the battery. Thus ensure the safety of the occupants in the vehicle.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087